Miles	ENTIAL PROTECTION
A STRON	Van
FLC	ORIDA
	**

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0110033 DATE: 01/8/2010 ARRIVE: 10:30AM DEPART: 11:00PM
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-SUNRISE READY-MIX
FACILITY LOCATION: 1050 NE 5TH TERRACE
FORT LAUDERDALE 33334
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415
CONTACT NAME: Facility is still present, not operating due to economy. PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
62-297, F.A.C.)?
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and
duration?
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) □Yes □ No 			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No			
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No 			
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes Yes No 			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

eck 🗹 appropriate box(es))
Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Zonly one box.</i>)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	ing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
	 c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions? Yes No	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes] No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🗌 No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🗌 No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?	Yes	🗌 No		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?	Yes	🗌 No		

Elizabeth F.Susky

1

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

01/8/2010

Date of Inspection

01/8/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility is still present, but not operating due to economic hardship.